specific Art, which the State Governments have to agree to allow to operate in their States. I would like to say that there are four States, Haryana, Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh and Karnataka, which have withdrawn permission in regard to certain provisions of the Act; three of them totally, and Karnataka, in regard to certain provisions of the Act. (*Interruptions*) There are seven other States, namely, Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, West Bengal, Uttar Pradesh, Assam, Punjab and Madhya Pradesh, which have withdrawn permission partially. But then, we go back to them for permission. As far as Tamil Nadu is concerned, they have withdrawn permission just this week. (*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Dr. Baldev Prakash.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Madam, what did the hon. Minister say? I did not hear.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If you did not hear, you read the record tomorrow.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: I cannot read.

श्री बलदेव प्रकाश : महोदया, मैं आपके द्वारा यह जानना चाहता हूं... (व्यवधान) कि जो प्रश्न के उत्तर में कहा गया है उसमें निवारक सतर्कता का वर्गीन नम्बर एक पर है, तो यह सर्वविदित है कि करप्शन ऊपर से शुरू होता है और नीचे आता है।

जैसा कि अभी अभी मामले आए हैं, रेलवे मिनिस्ट्री ने डीजल इंजिन्स खरीदे हैं...

उपसभापति : वह सवाल हो गया। दूसरा करिए।

श्री बलदेव प्रकाश : मैं सवाल पूछना चाहता हूं कि इसमें जो निवारक सतर्कता की व्यवस्था है उनकी रिपोर्ट के बावजूद जैसे जो विभागीय एक्सपर्टस कमेटी होती है, फाइनेंस डिपार्टमेंट है वह रिपोर्ट लिखता है कि यह नहीं होना चाहिए लेकिन उनको बाइपास करके फिर मिनिस्टर आर्डर देते हैं अतः इस निवारक सतर्कता के द्वारा करप्शन नहीं रोकी जा सकती है। क्या प्रधान मंत्री जी यह बतायेंगे कि यह जो व्यवस्था है यह बिल्कुल नाकारा हो गई है ? इसको बाईपास करके हायर लेविल पर करप्शन के बड़े बड़े आरोप लगते हैं, मैं प्रधान मंत्री जी की विश्वसनीयता को असंदिग्ध समझता हूं लेकिन जानना चाहता हूं कि इस स्तर पर भ्रष्टाचार को रोकने के क्या उपाय करेंगे।

उपसभापति :एक मिनट रह गया। जवाब का तो प्रधान मंत्री जी को टाइम दीजिए।

श्री बलदेव प्रकाश : मैं चाहता हूं कि प्रधान मंत्री जी इसका जवाब दें।

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: All matters, at whatever level, are investigated. If the hon. Member has any specific issue, he can send it. We will send it to the CBI.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We are having a discussion. This matter can be discussed in the House itself, if we find time. Now, Question Hour is over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Identification and distribution of surplus land

*325. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE:
SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether at a Conference of Chief Ministers held in January this year, it was decided to enforce land reforms to identify surplus land and distribute the same to the landless under a time bound programme by March 31, 1992;

(b) if so, the area of surplus land identified and distributed by March, 31 1992 and till June 1992; and

(c) the area of surplus land that still remains with landlords or under litigation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (DEPARTMENT OF RURAL

DEVELOPMENT) (SHRI G. VENKATSWAMY): (a) A decision was taken in the Conference of Chief Ministers held at New Delhi on October 4-5, 1991 that distribution of surplus land under land ceiling laws should be completed by 31st March, 1992.

(b) and (c) The information is furnished in the Tables I & II respectively.

TABLE-I

Information of Part (b) of the Question regarding area available, area distributed from October, 91 to March, 92 and between October, 91 to June 92

(Area in acres)

S. No.	State/UT	Area available as on 1-10-1991	Area distributed from Oct., 91-Mar., 92	Area distributed from Oct., 91-June, 92
1	Andhara Pradesh	37993	68080*	70452
2	Assam	24298	24190*	26454
3	Bihar	49881	6173	6461
4	Gujarat	16127	5492	5587
5	Haryana	74	77*	284
6	Himachal Pradesh	125396	Nil	Nil
7	Jammu & Kashmir	6000	Nil	Nil
8	Karnataka	1259	914	1009
9	Kerala	1324	558	615
10	Madhya Pradesh	9938	2329	2370
11	Maharashtra	1332	1619*	1619
12	Manipur	15	Nil	Nil
13	Orissa	2431	1901	2699
14	Punjab	369	232	250
15	Rajasthan	2262	5048*	5323
16	Tamil Nadu	233	3334*	4227
17	Tripura	...	Nil	Nil
18	Uttar Pradesh	1237	3625*	3808
19	West Bengal	18085	9013	4089
20	D & N Haveli	215	Nil	60
21	Delhi	68	Nil	Nil
22	Pondicherry	01	01	01
	TOTAL:	298538	132586	145308

*Include additional area subsequently identified and distributed.

TABLE-I

Information of Part (c) of the Question regarding area not taken possession of and area involved in litigation (State-wise)

(Area in acres)

S. No.	State/UT	Area not taken** possession of	Area in Litigation on March, 1992
1	Andhra Pradesh	180886	114856
2	Assam	61006	85680
3	Bihar	78971	111224
4	Gujarat	96707	97124
5	Haryana	5474	5474
6	Himachal Pradesh	2591	2591
7	Jammu & Kashmir	6000	0
8	Karnataka	114991	141808
9	Kerala	42836	26286
10	Madhya Pradesh	36052	54410
11	Maharashtra	80189	42284
12	Manipur	145	54
13	Orissa	10987	14680
14	Punjab	33487	36077
15	Rajasthan	68454	100756
16	Tamil Nadu	8653	2389
17	Tripura	51	59
18	Uttar Pradesh	30948	52754
19	West Bengal	68251	176499
20	D & Nagar Haveli	1019	1019
21	Delhi	738	184
22	Pondicherry	1148	1204
		929584	1088842

** Area under litigation include partly area taken possession and area not taken possession of.